

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,166	09/19/2003	Thomas A. Egolf	67,008-079; S-5696	7101
26096	7590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			HOLZEN, STEPHEN A	
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGH	BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/666,166	EGOLF, THOMAS A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen A. Holzen	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a sply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	April 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	<del>-</del>						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	· ·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> </ul>	nts have been received.						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri							
application from the International Bure	<del>-</del>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		red.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I						
Notice of braitsperson's Patent brawing Review (PTO-946)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/666,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sargent (3,411,738). Sargent discloses a vortex generator having a plurality of vortices generating protuberances defined generally parallel to a longitudinal axis defined by said surface which generate small scale vortices that are at least partially entrained within the primary tip vortex, wherein said surface comprise an aerodynamic surface, wherein said surface comprise a rotating aerodynamic surface, said longitudinal axis is a feathering axis, wherein said plurality of vortices generating protuberances extend from a tip of the surface. (see Figure 4, inherently a plane wing is a rotating aerodynamic surface).
- 3. Claims 11-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sargent. Sargent discloses a method of accelerating diffusion of a primary tip vortex comprising the step of generating small-scale vortices from a distal end of a surface that are at least partially entrained within the primary tip vortex to destabilize a core of said primary tip vortex, locating a plurality of vortices generating protuberances on a tip of a rotating member which generates the primary tip vortex, locating a plurality of vortices

Application/Control Number: 10/666,166 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

generating protuberances on a tip of a fixed member which generates the primary tip vortex, wherein said distal end is located upon a tip defined between an upper and lower aerodynamic surface, wherein said plurality of vortices generating protuberances are located upon a distal end of a surface.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 8, 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sargent in view of Perry. Perry teaches that it is known to alter the standard configurations of a helicopter blade to alter vortex distributions. It would have been obvious to use the protuberances of Sargent on the tip of the blades disclosed by Perry to further reduce the trailing vortex.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sargent in view of Corjon et al. Corjon et al teach that it is well known in the art to use retractable elements as perturbation means. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art to include the teachings of Corjon et al into the device of Sargent for the purpose of selectively deploying the perturbation

Art Unit: 3644

means only when necessary and having the perturbation means retracted to avoid an increase in drag during cruising.

7. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sargent in view of Perry and further in view of Corjon et al. Sargent in view of Perry discloses the use of protuberances from the tip of a rotor blade (see Perry col. 2, lines 1-10). Sargent in view of Corjon et al disclose selectively extending a vortices generating protuberance from a tip of a rotor blade which generates the primary tip vortex in response to an azimuthally position of the rotor blade. (see Corjon et al Col. 7, line 30)

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,166

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 703-308-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sah

CHARLES T. JORDAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5